

ECED Doctoral Comprehensive Written Exam Scoring Rubric

Candidate's Name:

Topic:

Question:

- Jane Broderick
 Pam Evanshen
 Amy Malkus
 Kathryn Sharp
 Carol Trivette
 Ruth Facun-Granadozo
 Alissa Lange

CRITERIA	UNACCEPTABLE	ACCEPTABLE	TARGET	QUESTION
<i>Accuracy of Information</i>	Numerous inaccuracies in the information (0-1 points)	Some inaccuracies in the information (2-4 points)	All information is reported accurately (5 points)	
<i>Clarity and Focus</i>	Overall coherence lacking; paragraphs disorganized and/or repetitive; information does not relate directly to the topic (0-1 points)	Minor problems with paragraph unity, coherence, or transitions; some information is off topic (2-4 points)	Content is clear, organized, and focused; all information relates directly to the topic (5 points)	
<i>Breadth and Depth of Knowledge</i>	Topic is not clear; information is vague, unsupported, largely opinion based or randomly presented; lack of detailed supporting information; major gaps in information (0-1 points)	Topic is somewhat clear and addresses the question; need for more detailed supporting information; most issues are covered but some gaps in information (2-4 points)	Clear, well-focused topic that addresses the question; ideas are supported by convincing, detailed information; key issues are covered (5 points)	
<i>References</i>	No references cited or zero-one references cited in some manner (0-1 points)	Two to four relevant references cited in some manner (2-4 points)	Five or more relevant references cited in some manner (5 points)	
<i>Organization and Mechanics</i>	Organization <u>and</u> mechanics (grammar and spelling) distract the reader from the content (0-1 point)	Organization <u>or</u> mechanics (grammar and spelling) distract the reader from the content (2-4 points)	Organization <u>and</u> mechanics (grammar and spelling) do not distract the reader from the content (5 points)	
TOTAL POINTS (OUT OF 25) PER QUESTION				
Comments for Question (rewrites only):				

